

NITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,286	0	3/31/2004	Yimin Hsu	HIT1P061/HSJ920030261US1 8345		
50535	7590	07/25/2006		EXAMINER		
ZILKA-KO	TAB, PC		RENNER, CRAIG A			
P.O. BOX 72 SAN JOSE,		2-1120		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
5111, 10055,	011 3017	2		2627		
				DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/815,286	HSU ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u>-</u>					
	Craig A. Renner	2627						
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' tatute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. The ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this co						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·							
	This action is non-final.							
		ers prosecution as to the	morite is					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	, , . , . ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1					
	tion							
	 ✓ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	·							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and	Var election requirement							
Oles Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and	nor election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) □ objected to I	by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of:	•	119(a)-(d) or (f).						
1. Certified copies of the priority docum								
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National	Stage					
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , ,	rossivad						
See the attached detailed Office action for a	nist of the certified copies flot i	eceived.						
Attachment(s)								
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) ∐ Interview S Paner No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date						
 Rollice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		formal Patent Application (PTC)-152) ·					

Application/Control Number: 10/815,286

Art Unit: 2627

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-12, drawn to a "write element", classified in class 360, subclass
 126.

Page 2

- II. Claims 13-19, drawn to a "method" of constructing a "write element"/"magnetic head", classified in class 29, subclass 603.16.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of groups II and I are related as process of making and product made, respectively. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown:

 (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as, a process not including "ion milling", for instance.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Ronald B. Feece on 21 July 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Page 3

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2627

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Craig A. Renner whose telephone number is (571) 272-7580. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday & Thursday-Friday 9:00 AM - 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Craig A. Renner **Primary Examiner**

Page 4

Art Unit 2627

CAR